



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,159	08/01/2003	Munenori Oizumi	TI-34626	5416
23494	7590	07/20/2009	EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			ROSARIO, DENNIS	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	2624			
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
07/20/2009	PAPER			

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

*Ex parte* MUNENORI OIZUMI, OSAMU KOSHIBA,  
and SATORU YAMAUCHI

---

Appeal 2009-003229  
Application 10/633,159  
Technology Center 2600

---

Mailed: July 20, 2009

---

*Before* DALE M. SHAW, *Chief Appeals Administrator.*

*SHAW, Chief Appeals Administrator.*

ORDER REMANDING APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on October 29, 2008. A Docketing Notice was mailed and Appeal 2009-003229 was assigned on January 14, 2009. A review of the application has revealed that the application was not ready for an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being remanded to the Examiner. The matter requiring attention is identified below.

Claims 1-5 of the instant application are set forth as method claims that may not fall with one of the four statutory categories of invention recited in 35 U.S.C. § 101. On May 15, 2008, the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examining Policy, John J. Love, issued a memorandum entitled “Clarification of “Processes” under 35 U.S.C. § 101.” This memorandum is further used in conjunction with the Interim Guidelines and *the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* (MPEP) § 2106.IV.B, when determining whether a claimed invention falls within a statutory category of invention. See *In re Bilski*, No. 2007-1130, \_\_\_ F.3d \_\_\_, 2008 WL 4757110 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 30, 2008) (en banc). Thus, there is a question as to whether claims 1-5 meet the requirement of being a patent eligible process under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner to determine if claims 1-5 meet the requirement of being a patent eligible process under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

If there are any questions pertaining to this order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

DMS/hh

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED  
P.O. BOX 655474, M/S 3999  
DALLAS, TX 75265